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ABSTRACT
During routine dissection for first MBBS students on a 65 year old donated embalmed male cadaver in the Department of Anatomy at Grant Medical College & Sir J. J. Group of Hospitals, Byculla, Mumbai, we observed a variant head of the coracobrachialis muscle in the left arm. It originated from the middle part of the medial border of the shaft of the humerus and ran downwards and medially in front of the median nerve and brachial artery. It was inserted on the anteromedial aspect of the medial epicondyle of the humerus. The length of the variant head of the coracobrachialis muscle was 15 cm and the diameter was 0.2 cm. The photographs were taken for proper documentation. The branching pattern of the axillary and brachial arteries were normal. The variation was unilateral. The right upper limb was normal. The existence of accessory head of the coracobrachialis muscle should be kept in mind by surgeons operating on patients with high median nerve palsy and brachial artery compression and radiologists while doing scans of the arm.

INTRODUCTION
The coracobrachialis muscle shows several interesting morphological and anatomical characteristics. It is the counterpart in the arm of the adductors (longus, brevis, magnus) of the thigh. It arises from the apex of the coracoid process, where it is fused with the medial side of the short head of biceps. The tendon is continued into a muscular belly of varying development which is inserted into the medial border of the humerus. The lower extent of the insertion is marked by the nutrient foramen of the humerus, for the nutrient branch of the brachial artery runs along the lower border of the muscle. The upward extent of the insertion cannot be seen on most bones, the muscle usually leaving no impression. The musculocutaneous nerve passes through the muscle and supplies it. Compared to the morphological interest of this muscle its action is negligible. It is a weak adductor of the shoulder joint, the main adductors of which are pectoralis major and latissimus dorsi [1,2]. In some animals the coracobrachialis muscle has three heads [3], in man two have fused, trapping the nerve between them, and the third part has become suppressed. The occasional supratrochlear spur (on the anteromedial aspect of the lower humerus) may be continuous with a ligament (of Struthers) which passes to the medial epicondyle and represents the remains of the third head [4]. The median nerve or brachial artery or both may run beneath it and be subjected to compression.

CASE REPORT
During routine dissection for first MBBS students on 65 years donated embalmed male cadaver in the Department of Anatomy, Grant Medical College & Sir J. J. Group of Hospitals, Byculla, Mumbai, we observed a variant head of the coracobrachialis muscle in the left arm (Fig.1). The variant head of the coracobrachialis muscle was supplied by musculocutaneous nerve (Fig.2). It
originated from the middle part of the medial border of the shaft of the humerus and ran downwards and medially in front of the median nerve and brachial artery. It was inserted on the anteromedial aspect of the medial epicondyle of the humerus (Fig.3). The length of the variant head of the coracobrachialis muscle was 15 cm and the diameter was 0.2 cm. The photographs were taken for proper documentation. The branching pattern of the axillary and brachial arteries was normal. The variation was unilateral. The right upper limb was normal.

**DISCUSSION**

The accessory head of coracobrachialis muscle may be attached to the lesser tubercle, medial epicondyle or medial intermuscular septum [2]. The clinical implication of the accessory head of coracobrachialis is that it has the potential to cause median nerve entrapment and brachial artery compression. Various studies have described the compression of median nerve and brachial artery with anomalous muscles [5,6,7,8]. According to some authors the accessory head of the coracobrachialis continues with the medial head of triceps to be inserted on the olecranon process of ulna. This additional belly extending towards the ulna through the triceps brachii can be called “coracoulnaris”.

The “coracoulnaris” muscle can help in the extension of the elbow and pronation of the forearm [9]. In the present case the accessory head of coracobrachialis muscle arises from the middle part of the medial border of the shaft of the humerus having 3 cm muscle belly and 15 cm tendinous band. It extended downwards and medially in front of the median nerve and the brachial artery and finally got inserted on the anteromedial aspect of the medial epicondyle of the humerus. An important finding in our case is a passage for the brachial artery and median nerve formed by the tendinous band of the accessory head of the coracobrachialis muscle. This tendinous band forming the tunnel is called as Ligament of Struther’s. Other openings, however, have been described for the passage of the median nerve and brachial artery, the most common being the tunnel formed beneath the ligament of Struthers [4].

**Clinical Significance**

The neurovascular bundle of the arm may undergo active or passive compression by ligament of Struthers leading to neurovascular disorders. It may lead to wasting or ischaemic contraction of flexors of the forearm. This variation is important during the active use of coracobrachialis as a transposition flap in deformities of infraclavicular and axillary areas and in postmastectomy reconstruction [10], during surgical intervention of the anterior compartment of the arm, such as trauma, tumour, neurovascular disease; while using conjoint tendon for stabilisation treatment for recurrent dislocation and subluxation of the shoulder joint [11], while using
coracobrachialis as a vascularised muscle for transfer in the
treatment of longstanding facial paralysis [9] during
evaluation of computed tomography and magnetic
resonance imaging. As the coracobrachialis is also a guide
to the axillary artery during surgery and anaesthesia,
knowledge of an accessory head of the coracobrachialis
muscle documented in present case may prove significant.
Accessory muscles in the arm and forearm may lead to
confusion during surgical procedures or cause compression
of neurovascular structures.

Embryological Basis
The accessory head of the coracobrachialis
muscle reported in this case may be explained on the basis
of the embryogenesis of the muscles of the arm. During
development, the limb bud mesenchyme of the lateral plate
differentiates into intrinsic muscles of the upper limb. A
single muscle mass is formed by fusion of the muscle
primordia within the different layers of the arm at certain
stages of development; thereafter, some muscle primordia
disappears through cell death. The morphological
variations of the coracobrachialis muscle may be due to
failure of muscle primordia to disappear during
embryological development [12].

CONCLUSION
The existence of such variation of the
coracobrachialis muscle should be kept in mind by the
surgeons operating on patients with high median nerve
palsy and brachial artery compression, by the
orthopaedicians dealing with fracture of the humerus, the
radiologists while doing radiodiagnostic procedures e.g.
CT scan, MRI of the arm and angiographic studies and also
by the physiotherapists. These accessory fibres of
coracobrachialis may be used as a transposition flap in
deformities of infraclavicular and axillary areas and in
postmastectomy reconstruction. The accessory fibres of
coracobrachialis may prove significant and lead to
confusion during surgical procedures or cause compression
of neurovascular structures.
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